GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 1991

Η

HOUSE BILL 847

Short Title: D.W.I. Blood-Alcohol to 0.05.

(Public)

1

Sponsors: Representatives Beard; Justus and Warner.

Referred to: Judiciary II.

April 17, 1991

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO REDUCE THE LEGAL LIMIT OF BLOOD ALCOHOL FROM 0.10 TO
3	0.05.
4	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
5	Section 1. G.S. 20-138.1(a) reads as rewritten:
6	"(a) Offense. – A person commits the offense of impaired driving if he drives any
7	vehicle upon any highway, any street, or any public vehicular area within this State:
8	(1) While under the influence of an impairing substance; or
9	(2) After having consumed sufficient alcohol that he has, at any relevant
10	time after the driving, an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.05 or more."
11	Sec. 2. G.S. 20-12.1(a) reads as rewritten:
12	"(a) It is unlawful for any person to accompany another person driving a motor
13	vehicle, in accordance with G.S. 20-11, or instruct another person driving a motor
14	vehicle, in accordance with G.S. 20-7(1-1) and (m) or G.S. 20-12:
15	(1) While the person accompanying or instructing is under the influence of
16	an impairing substance; or
17	(2) After having consumed sufficient alcohol that he has, at any relevant
18	time after the driving, an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.05 or more."
19	Sec. 3. G.S. 20-16.2(a) reads as rewritten:
20	"(a) Basis for Charging Officer to Require Chemical Analysis; Notification of
21	Rights Any person who drives a vehicle on a highway or public vehicular area
22	thereby gives consent to a chemical analysis if he is charged with an implied-consent
23	offense. The charging officer must designate the type of chemical analysis to be
24	administered, and it may be administered when he has reasonable grounds to believe

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

1	that the person charged has committed the implied-consent offense. Except as provided					
2	in subsection (b), the person charged must be taken before a chemical analyst authorized					
3	to administer a test of a person's breath, who must inform the person orally and also					
4	give him a notice in writing that:					
5	(1)	He has a right to refuse to be tested.				
6	(2)	Refusal to take any required test or tests will result in an immediate				
7		revocation of his driving privilege for at least 10 days and an				
8		additional 12-month revocation by the Division of Motor Vehicles.				
9	(3)	The test results, or the fact of his refusal, will be admissible in				
10		evidence at trial on the offense charged.				
11	(4)	His driving privilege will be revoked immediately for at least 10 days				
12		if:				
13		a. The test reveals an alcohol concentration of $0.10-0.05$ or more;				
14		or				
15 16		b. He was driving a commercial motor vehicle and the test reveals an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more.				
17	(5)	He may have a qualified person of his own choosing administer a				
18	(*)	chemical test or tests in addition to any test administered at the				
19		direction of the charging officer.				
20	(6)	He has the right to call an attorney and select a witness to view for him				
21		the testing procedures, but the testing may not be delayed for these				
22		purposes longer than 30 minutes from the time he is notified of his				
23		rights."				
24	Sec. 4	4. G.S. 20-16.2(i) reads as rewritten:				
25		to Chemical Analysis before Arrest or Charge. – A person stopped or				
26	• • •	a law-enforcement officer who is investigating whether the person may				
27	· ·	d an implied-consent offense may request the administration of a				
28	chemical analys	sis before any arrest or other charge is made for the offense. Upon this				
29	request, the offi	icer must afford the person the opportunity to have a chemical analysis				
30	of his breath, if available, in accordance with the procedures required by G.S. 20-					
31	139.1(b). The request constitutes the person's consent to be transported by the law-					
32	enforcement of	ficer to the place where the chemical analysis is to be administered.				
33	Before the cher	nical analysis is made, the person must confirm his request in writing				
34	and he must be	notified:				
35	(1)	That the test results will be admissible in evidence and may be used				
36		against him in any implied-consent offense that may arise;				
37		(2) That his license will be revoked for at least 10 days if:				
38		a. The test reveals an alcohol concentration of $0.10-0.05$ or more;				
39		or				
40		b. He was driving a commercial motor vehicle and the test results				
41		reveal an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more.				
42	(3)	That if he fails to comply fully with the test procedures, the officer				
43		may charge him with any offense for which the officer has probable				
44		cause, and if he is charged with an implied-consent offense, his refusal				
	Page 2	HOUSE BILL 847 version 1				

	1991	GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
1 2		to submit to the testing required as a result of that charge would result in revocation of his driver's license. The results of the chemical
3		analysis are admissible in evidence in any proceeding in which they
4	~	are relevant."
5		ec. 5. G.S. 20-16.5(b) reads as rewritten:
6 7		evocations for Persons Who Refuse Chemical Analyses or Have Alcohol ons of 0.10-0.05 or More After Driving a Motor Vehicle or of 0.04 or More
8		ng a Commercial Vehicle. – A person's driver's license is subject to
9		nder this section if:
10) A charging officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the person
11	(1	has committed an offense subject to the implied-consent provisions of
12		G.S. 20-16.2;
13	(2	
14	(3	
15		procedures of G.S. 20-16.2 and G.S. 20-139.1 in requiring the person's submission to or producing a chemical analysis; and
16 17	(1	submission to or procuring a chemical analysis; and
17	(4	
18 19		 a. Willfully refuses to submit to the chemical analysis; b. Has an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.05 or more within a
20		relevant time after the driving; or
20		c. Has an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more at any relevant
21		time after the driving of a commercial vehicle."
23	Se	ec. 6. G.S. 20-16.5(b1) reads as rewritten:
24		recharge Test Results as Basis for Revocation. – Notwithstanding the
25	. ,	of subsection (b), a person's driver's license is subject to revocation under
26	this section i	
27	(1) He requests a precharge chemical analysis pursuant to G.S. 20-16.2(i);
28		and
29	(2) He has:
30		a. An alcohol concentration of <u>0.10–0.05</u> or more at any relevant
31		time after driving; or
32		b. An alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more at any relevant time
33		after driving a commercial motor vehicle; and
34	(3	
35		ec. 7. G.S. 20-179(e) reads as rewritten:
36	. ,	litigating Factors to Be Weighed. – The judge must also determine before
37	-	inder subsection (f) whether any of the mitigating factors listed below apply
38		dant. The judge must weigh the degree of mitigation of each factor in light
39 40	· .	ular circumstances of the case. The factors are:
40 41	(1	
41 42		alcohol, and an alcohol concentration that did not exceed 0.11-0.06 at any relevant time after the driving.
42		any relevant time after the univing.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

1	(2)	Slight impairment of the defendant's faculties, resulting solely from	
2		alcohol, with no chemical analysis having been available to the	
3		defendant.	
4	(3)	Driving at the time of the offense that was safe and lawful except for	
5		the impairment of the defendant's faculties.	
6	(4)	A safe driving record, with the defendant's having no conviction for	
7		any motor vehicle offense for which at least four points are assigned	
8		under G.S. 20-16 or for which the person's license is subject to	
9		revocation within five years of the date of the offense for which the	
10		defendant is being sentenced.	
11		(5) Impairment of the defendant's faculties caused primarily by	
12		a lawfully prescribed drug for an existing medical condition, and the	
13		amount of the drug taken was within the prescribed dosage.	
14	(6)	The defendant's voluntary submission to a mental health facility for	
15		assessment after he was charged with the impaired driving offense for	
16		which he is being sentenced, and, if recommended by the facility, his	
17		voluntary participation in the recommended treatment.	
18	(7)	Any other factor that mitigates the seriousness of the offense.	
19		factors in subdivisions (4), (6) and (7), the conduct constituting the	
20	mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or occurrence as the impaired		
21	driving offense.		
22	Sec. 8	. This act becomes effective October 1, 1991.	