GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

S

1

7

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

SENATE DRS35167-LD-46 (03/09)

Short Title:	Agriculture Research Stations' Evaluation.	(Public)
Sponsors:	Senator Atwater.	
Referred to:		

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO STOP FUNDING THE CONTINUED EVALUATION OF NORTH
CAROLINA'S AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SYSTEM AND THE AGRICULTURAL
RESEARCH STATIONS AND RESEARCH FARMS BY THE PERFORMANCE
EVALUATION DIVISION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

6 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. Findings. – The General Assembly makes the following findings:

- 8 During the 2007 Regular Session of the General Assembly, the Senate (1)considered a proposal for the State budget to transfer the Division of 9 Research Stations from the Department of Agriculture and Consumer 10 Services to the Board of Governors of The University of North Carolina to 11 be allocated to North Carolina State University, and the 18 agricultural 12 research stations and research farms so transferred were to be under the 13 14 direction and control of North Carolina State University, in consultation with North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University. North Carolina 15 16 State University was also directed to consolidate and downsize the 17 agricultural research stations and research farms and determine which of the stations or farms should be retained by the State and which should be sold by 18 the State, with any proceeds to be reinvested in the State's agricultural 19 20 research efforts. This proposal was rejected. Instead, the 2007 Appropriations Act directed the Performance Evaluation Division of the 21 22 General Assembly to study the current structure and management practices of the agricultural research stations and research farms and determine 23 24 whether it is desirable and feasible to consolidate or transfer the research 25 stations and research farms to another State department.
 - (2) In its May 2008 report, the Program Evaluation Division included recommendations that were substantially the same as the Senate's proposal for the 2007 State budget.
 - (3) During the 2008 Regular Session of the 2007 General Assembly, after considering the report of the Program Evaluation Division and considering the positions of the various stakeholders, the General Assembly again rejected this proposal. The General Assembly instead directed a study by the Dean of the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at North Carolina State University, the Dean of the School of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences at North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University, and



D

	General Assemb	oly of North Carolina	Session 2011
1		the Commissioner of Agriculture to develop a comprehe	nsive strategic plan
2		for the management of the agricultural research stations	and research farms.
3		Much time and effort has gone into developing a comp	prehensive strategic
4		plan, including the input of stakeholders, including	ng 52 agricultural
5		organizations, an external advisory committee, and mer	1
6		who attended four regional public meetings held acr	
7		General Assembly receives annual reports of the program	ress being made in
8		developing the comprehensive strategic plan.	
9	(4)	In February 2011, the Program Evaluation Division brie	
10		the General Assembly of the unrealized savings preser	
11		from its 2008 report regarding the agricultural research st	
12		farms. The Program Evaluation Division has also	
13		evaluation of the agricultural research stations and res	search farms in its
14	(7)	2011-2012 work plan.	· · · 2 000
15	(5)	The recommendations of the Program Evaluation Divisio	1
16 17		are not in the best interest of our citizens. Further of	
17		recommendations by the Program Evaluation Divisi investment of State resources, and the continued re	
18 19		recommendations has caused and will continue to	
20		confusion and unnecessary concern among farmers, agri	
20		and public servants, including State and local elec	-
22		comprehensive strategic plan under subdivision (3) of	
23		currently being developed is an effort that better support	
24		unique system of agricultural research to provide support	
25		needs of a growing State, national, and world popul	0
26		evaluation by the Program Evaluation Division now whil	•
27		in progress serves only to distract from this important end	
28	(6)	During this time of economic hardship, agriculture is of	
29		Carolina that has experienced growth. Further, real	estate prices have
30		declined significantly since the sale of this farmland was	first considered and
31		rejected in 2007. World food prices have risen dramatical	
32		period of time. North Carolina can be a leader	in achieving food
33		independence. The long-term benefits of preserving this	
34		its current use as agricultural research stations and research	1
35		innovative and improved methods of producing foo	-
36		products exceed any short-term gains from selling th	
37		address the State's immediate and temporary budget short	
38		FION 2. Prohibit Further Evaluation by the Program Eva	
39 40		priated or otherwise available to the General Assembly	
40 41		sion for the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the 2011-2012 fiscal year may be used for further evaluating or proposing additional statements and the statement of the st	•
41 42		year may be used for further evaluating or proposing addi	
42 43		s Agricultural Research System, the Division of Resear griculture and Consumer Services, or the 18 agricultural re	
43 44	-	f the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.	scarch stations and
44 45		FION 3. Effective Date. – This act is effective when it become	omes law
Ъ	SEC		11105 Iaw.