SESSION 1997

HOUSE BILL 1317*

Short Title: Amend Contested Case Proc.

(Public)

Sponsors: Representative C. Wilson.

Referred to: Judiciary I.

May 19, 1998

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO MODIFY THE PROCEDURES CONCERNING FINAL
3	ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS IN CONTESTED CASES HEARD BY THE
4	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS.
5	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
6	Section 1. G.S. 150B-2(5) reads as rewritten:
7	"(5) "Party" means any person or agency named or admitted as a party or
8	properly seeking as of right to be admitted as a party and includes the
9	agency as appropriate. This subdivision does not permit an agency that
10	makes a final decision, or an officer or employee of the agency, to
11	petition for initial judicial review of that decision. decision, except as
12	provided by G.S. 150B-43(b)."
13	Section 2. G.S. 150B-29(a) reads as rewritten:
14	"(a) In all contested cases, irrelevant, immaterial and unduly repetitious evidence
15	shall be excluded. Except as otherwise provided, the rules of evidence as applied in the
16	trial division of the General Court of Justice shall be followed; but, when evidence is not
17	reasonably available under the rules to show relevant facts, then the most reliable and
18	substantial evidence available shall be admitted. On the judge's own motion, an
19	administrative law judge may exclude evidence that is inadmissible under this section.
20	The party with the burden of proof in a contested case must establish the facts required by

1

1	<u>G.S. 150</u>)B-23(a) by a preponderance of the evidence. It shall not be necessary for a party
2			to object at the hearing to evidence in order to preserve the right to object
3			tion by the administrative law judge in making a recommended decision,
4	by the ag		n making a final decision, or by the court on judicial review."
5			on 3. G.S. 150B-34 reads as rewritten:
6			ecommended decision or order of administrative law judge.
7	(a)	-	pt as provided in G.S. 150B-36(c), in each contested case the
8			law judge shall make a recommended decision or order that contains
9	•		t and conclusions of law. The findings of fact shall be supported by a
10	preponde		of the evidence admissable under G.S. 150B-29, 150B-30, or 150B-31."
11			on 4. G.S. 150B-36 reads as rewritten:
12	0		nal decision.
13	(a)	<u>(1)</u>	Before the agency makes a final decision, it shall-After an agency receives
14			the official record in a contested case, the agency must give each party
15			an opportunity to the contested case 15 days to file exceptions to the
16			decision <u>or order</u> recommended by the administrative law judge, judge
17			and to present written arguments to those in the agency who will make
18			the final decision or order. If none of the parties files exceptions to the
19			recommended decision or order within the 15-day period, the agency is
20			considered to have adopted the administrative law judge's recommended
21			decision or order as the agency's final decision or order.
22		<u>(2)</u>	If a party files in good faith a timely and sufficient affidavit of personal
23			bias or other reason for disqualification of a member of the agency
24			making the final decision, the agency shall determine the matter as a
25			part of the record in the case, and the case. The determination is subject
26			to judicial review at the conclusion of the case.
27	(b)	<u>(1)</u>	A final decision or order in a contested case shall be made by the
28			agency in writing after review of within the time set by G.S. 150B-44. If
29			the agency does not adopt as its final decision or order the
30			recommended decision or order made in the contested case under
31			subsection (a) of this section, it must make a written final decision or
32			order. In making its final decision or order, the agency may consider
33			only the official record as defined in G.S. 150B-37(a) and the
34			exceptions filed by a party. The final decision or order shall include
35			findings of fact and conclusions of law. <u>The findings of fact made in</u>
36			the contested case by the administrative law judge are binding on the
37			agency in making its final decision or order if they are supported by
38			substantial evidence admissible under G.S. 150B-29, 150B-30, or 150B-
39 40			31 in view of the entire record. Nothing in this subdivision shall affect
40			the policies and need determinations in the State Medical Facilities Plan which have been adopted in accordance with law and shall have the
41 42			which have been adopted in accordance with law and shall have the
42			effect of law for this purpose.

1	<u>(2)</u>	If the agency does not adopt the administrative law judge's
2		recommended decision or order as its final decision, decision or order,
3		the agency shall state in its decision or order the specific reasons why it
4		did not adopt the administrative law judge's recommended decision. The
5		agency may consider only the official record prepared pursuant to G.S. 150B-
6		37 in making a final decision or order, and the final decision or order shall be
7		supported by substantial evidence admissible under G.S. 150B-29(a), 150B-
8		30, or 150B-31. decision or order. A copy of the <u>agency's</u> decision or
9		order shall be served upon each party personally or by certified mail
10		addressed to the party at the latest address given by the party to the
11		agency, and a copy shall be furnished to his-each party's attorney of
12		record and the Office of Administrative Hearings.
13	• •	following decisions made by administrative law judges in contested cases
14	are final decision	ns:
15	(1)	A determination that the Office of Administrative Hearings lacks
16		jurisdiction.
17	(2)	An order entered pursuant to the authority in G.S. 7A-759(e).
18	(3)	An order entered pursuant to a written prehearing motion that either
19		dismisses the contested case for failure of the petitioner to prosecute or
20		grants the relief requested when a party does not comply with
21		procedural requirements.
22	(4)	An order entered pursuant to a prehearing motion to dismiss the
23		contested case in accordance with G.S. 1A-1, Rule 12(b) when the order
24		disposes of all issues in the contested case."
25	Section	on 5. G.S. 150B-37(c) reads as rewritten:
26	"(c) The	Office of Administrative Hearings shall forward a copy of the official
27	record to the ag	ency making the final decision and shall forward a copy of the recommended
28	decision to each	party. <u>decision.</u>"
29	Section	on 6. G.S. 150B-43 reads as rewritten:
30	-	ght to judicial review.
31	<u>(a)</u> Any j	person who is aggrieved by the final decision in a contested case, and who
32		all administrative remedies made available to him by statute or agency
33	rule, is entitled	to judicial review of the decision under this Article, unless adequate
34	procedure for j	udicial review is provided by another statute, in which case the review
35	shall be under s	such other statute. Nothing in this Chapter shall prevent any person from
36	invoking any ju	idicial remedy available to him under the law to test the validity of any
37	administrative a	ction not made reviewable under this Article.
38	<u>(b)</u> <u>An a</u>	gency may seek judicial review of procedural errors made by the
39	administrative 1	aw judge in the contested case if the agency was required to adopt the
40		aw judge's findings of fact and the agency concludes that, although the
41	findings are sup	ported by substantial evidence, the record contains significant evidentiary
42	errors."	
43	Section	on 7. G.S. 150B-44 reads as rewritten:

1997

"§ 150B-44. Right to judicial intervention when decision unreasonably delayed.

2 Unreasonable delay on the part of any agency or administrative law judge in taking 3 any required action shall be justification for any person whose rights, duties, or privileges 4 are adversely affected by such delay to seek a court order compelling action by the agency or administrative law judge. An agency that is subject to Article 3 of this Chapter 5 6 and is not a board or commission has 90-45 days from the day it receives the official 7 record in a contested case from the Office of Administrative Hearings to make a final 8 decision in the case. This time limit may be extended by the parties or, for good cause 9 shown, by the agency for an additional period of up to 90-30 days. An agency that is 10 subject to Article 3 of this Chapter and is a board or commission has 90-45 days from the day it receives the official record in a contested case from the Office of Administrative 11 12 Hearings or 90-45 days after its next regularly scheduled meeting, whichever is longer, to 13 make a final decision in the case. This time limit may be extended by the parties or, for 14 good cause shown, by the agency for an additional period of up to 90-30 days. If an 15 agency subject to Article 3 of this Chapter has not made a final decision within these time limits, the agency is considered to have adopted the administrative law judge's 16 17 recommended decision as the agency's final decision. Failure of an agency subject to 18 Article 3A of this Chapter to make a final decision within 180-75 days of the close of the contested case hearing is justification for a person whose rights, duties, or privileges are 19 20 adversely affected by the delay to seek a court order compelling action by the agency or, 21 if the case was heard by an administrative law judge, by the administrative law judge."

Section 8. G.S. 150B-51 reads as rewritten:

23 **"§ 150B-51. Scope of review.**

1

22

(a) Initial Determination in Certain Cases. In reviewing a final decision in a
contested case in which an administrative law judge made a recommended decision, the
court shall make two-three initial determinations. determinations as follows:

- 27 (1) First, the The court shall determine whether the agency heard new evidence after receiving the recommended decision. If the court determines that the agency heard new evidence, the court shall reverse the decision or remand the case to the agency to enter a decision in accordance with the evidence in the official record.
- Second, if If the agency did not adopt the recommended decision, the 32 (2)court shall determine whether the administrative law judge's findings of 33 fact are supported by substantial evidence admissible under G.S. 150B-34 35 29, 150B-30, or 150B-31 in view of the entire record. If the court determines that the agency failed to adhere to the administrative law 36 judge's findings of fact that are supported by substantial evidence, the 37 38 court shall reverse the decision or remand the case to the agency to enter a decision in accordance with the evidence in the official record. 39
- 40(3)If the agency did not adopt the recommended decision, the court shall41determine whether the agency's decision states the specific reasons why42the agency did not adopt the recommended decision. If the court43determines that the agency did not state specific reasons why it did not

1 2	adopt a recommended decision, the court shall reverse the decision or remand the case to the agency to enter the specific reasons.		
3	(b) Standard of Review. After making the determinations, if any, required by		
4	subsection (a), the court reviewing a final decision may affirm the decision of the agency		
5	or remand the case for further proceedings. It may also reverse or modify the agency's		
6	decision if the substantial rights of the petitioners may have been prejudiced because the		
7	agency's findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions are:		
8	(1) In violation of constitutional provisions;		
9	(2) In excess of the statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency;		
10	(3) Made upon unlawful procedure;		
11	(4) Affected by other error of law;		
12	(5) Unsupported by substantial evidence admissible under G.S. 150B-29(a),		
13	150B-30, or 150B-31 in view of the entire record as submitted; or		
14	(6) Arbitrary or capricious."		
15	Section 9. This act is effective when it becomes law. Sections 1, 4, 5, 6, 7,		
16	and 8 of this act apply to any recommended decision or order made by an administrative		
17	law judge on or after the effective date. The remainder of the act applies to contested		
18	cases commenced on or after the effective date.		

Page 5