## LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE

BILL NUMBER: Senate Bill 364
SHORT TITLE: 1997 Child Protection Act
SPONSOR(S): Senator Page


BILL SUMMARY: S 364. 1997 CHILD PROTECTION ACT. TO REQUIRE THAT PERSONS CONVICTED OF CERTAIN CRIMES REGARDING MINORS BE SENTENCED TO AN ACTIVE PUNISHMENT OF IMPRISONMENT AND TO AMEND THE LAW REGARDING PRIOR CONVICTIONS AND THEIR EFFECT ON THE PRIOR RECORD LEVEL FOR FELONY SENTENCING. Amends following sections to require that persons convicted of specified crimes involving minors must receive active punishment: GS 14-190.6; GS 14-190.7; GS 14-190.8; GS 14-190.15; GS 14-190.16; GS 14-190.17; GS 14-190.17A; GS 14-190.18; GS 14-190.19; GS 14-202.1; GS 14-401.11; GS 14-318.2; GS 14-318.4; GS 90-95; and GS 90-113.23. Amends GS 15A-1340.14(b)(7) to increase points assigned for offense committed while offender was on probation or parole, or while in prison, or while on escape from prison, from 1 point to 3 points. Amends GS 15A-1340.14(d) to provide that if an offender is convicted of more than one offense in a single superior court during one calendar week, or in a single session of district court, each conviction is used (current law only uses one such conviction). ${ }^{1}$

## ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:

## I. Department of Correction

The following chart shows, for the end of each fiscal year, beds projected to be available, the number of inmates projected under the present Structured Sentencing Act, the deficit or surplus beds, the number of additional inmates projected to be incarcerated under this bill, and the additional beds needed as a result of this bill after considering projected prison capacity: (The following information is specific to each individual bill.)

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { June } 30 \quad \text { June } 30 \quad \text { June } 30 \quad \text { June } 30 \quad \text { June } 30
\end{array}
$$

[^0]Projected No. of
Inmates Under Current
Structured Sentencing Act ${ }^{2}$
31,762
30,371
30,060
30,610
31,259
Projected No. of Prison Beds
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (DOC Expanded Capacity) }^{3} & 34,133 & 35,599 & 35,599 & 35,599 & 35,599\end{array}$
No. of Beds
Over/Under No. of
Inmates Under
Current Structured
Sentencing Act
No. of Projected
Additional Inmates
$+2,371+5,228 \quad+5,539 \quad+4,989 \quad+4,430$

Due to this Bill
No. of Additional
Beds Need Each Fiscal
$0 \quad 0$
$0 \quad 0$
0
0
Year Due to this Bill
As shown in bold in the table above, the Sentencing Commission estimates this specific legislation will add 4,331 inmates to the prison system by 2001-02. These inmates can be absorbed within current DOC capacity.

Note: Other bills introduced this session have a potential impact on the inmate population and bed availability. As of May 9, bills that have passed either the House or Senate together add 1,679 inmates by 2001-2. The total impact of all criminal bills introduced is 15,807, not counting SB 364. If any of these bills pass, SB 364 will have an impact on bed availability by 2001-2.

[^1]Note; Fiscal Notes require a 5 year horizon. However, information is available on the impact of this bill on the prison population through 2005-6 and the effect on available beds increases sharply in those years.

| Year | $2002-3$ | $2003-4$ | $2004-5$ | $2005-6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Available <br> Beds <br> Projected | 3,548 | 2,752 | 1,858 | 969 |
| Impact of SB | 4,543 | 4,574 | 4,682 | 4,947 |
| 364 <br> Inmates <br> Beyond <br> Capacity | 995 | 1822 | 2,824 | 3,978 |

The cost of this larger need is not included in the fiscal note however, since it is beyond the 5 year horizon.

## III. County Jails

Senate Bill 364 also has a slight impact on the county jail population, as shown below. The cost to counties is calculated at $\$ 14,600(=365 x \$ 40)$ per inmate for an annual cost. A recent survey of 69 of the states 115 county jails found an average per inmate per day cost of $\$ 40$ with a range from $\$ 6$ to $\$ 236$. (NC Association of County Commissioner's Survey, analysis by Sentencing Commission).

| Year | $\frac{1997-8}{16}$ | $\frac{1998-9}{34}$ |  | $\frac{1999-2000}{34}$ |  | $\frac{2000-01}{34}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sentences under 90 days are served in county jails. However, if the sentence is over 30 days the state reimburses the counties $\$ 14.50$ per inmate day. Class 1 misdemeanor sentences range from 1-120 days so this increase in jail population may impose some costs on the state. For instance, if the 34 additional jail inmates in 1998-9 received 45 day sentences (the maximum at prior record levels I and II, it would cost the state $\$ 22,185$ and the county cost would fall to $\$ 474,215$. Information is not available to predict the exact length of the sentences nor the exact division of cost between the state and counties.

## IV Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts feels that this bill would have a "quite substantial" impact on the Judicial Branch because the increase in the severity of penalties for certain crimes will increase the trial rate. However, they are unable to estimate a dollar figure for the impact. They analyzed the three sections of the bill separately:
A) Mandatory Active Sentences for Certain Crimes SB 364 requires that the court impose active punishments for certain crimes (dissemination of material that is obscene or harmful to minors, sexual exploitation of a minor, promoting or participation in prostitution, distributing certain substances at Halloween, child abuse) even if the Structured Sentencing grid allows community or intermediate punishments. Sentencing Commission data shows that in general, trial rates increase from $53 \%$ to $100 \%$ (depending on offense class) with mandatory active sentences. (This analysis compares the trial rates in cells of the grid with mandatory active sentences with cells without mandatory active sentences). The Judicial Branch expects the biggest impact from mandatory active sentences for indecent liberties and felony and misdemeanor child abuse. The chart below shows their conservative estimate of the expected impact for these crimes.

B) Three Prior Record Level Points This provision specifies that if an offense is committed while an offender is on probation, parole or escape or in prison, the offense is counted as 3 points instead of one. According to Sentencing Commission data, over 1,000 people would be shifted into higher prior record levels in the remainder of 1997-8. Since these individuals will face stiffer punishments, the Judicial Branch anticipates an significant increase in trial rates. However, information is not available to give a specific estimate of the fiscal impact.
C) Count all Prior Convictions Current law specifies that multiple convictions in the same court session are counted as one offense for purposes of prior record level determination. SB364 would change the law so that each prior conviction would be counted towards prior record. This provision would add 2,896 inmates to the prison system in 1998-9. Given that the trial rate increases along with the probability of active time, the Judicial Branch expects this provision to have a very substantial impact on their workload. However, there is not information available to give a specific dollar estimate.

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Correction, Judicial Branch; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Daily Bulletin, Institute of Government, UNC-Chapel Hill, 1997

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Sentencing Commission's revised prison population projections (dated December 1996) were estimated under three scenarios: High, Best, and Low. The differences in these scenarios reflect varying assumptions on incarceration rates under Structured Sentencing, probation and revocation rates, and the decline of the stock population. The projections outlined above are included in the "Best scenario" since the Sentencing Commission and the Department of Correction believe this scenario is most likely to occur.
    ${ }^{3}$ Projected number of prison beds based on Department of Correction estimates of expanded bed capacity as of $1 / 11 / 97$. These numbers do not include the number of beds requested in the Governor's 1997-99 Capital Improvement budget.

